



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,556	02/25/2002	Dirk Hottgenroth	P2001,0129	3140

7590

12/04/2003

LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.
Post Office Box 2480
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480

EXAMINER

HO, THANG H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2188	

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application

10/082,556

Applicant(s)

HOTTGENROTH, DIRK

Examiner

Thang H Ho

Art Unit

2188

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy filed on 5/15/2002 has been received.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on 02/25/2002 has been received and considered. Please see attached PTO-1449.
3. Applicant is reminded of the duty to fully disclose information under 37 CFR 1.56.

Specification

4. Claims 1-16 are presented for examination.
5. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is required in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2188

7. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nunziata (United States Patent 5,619,471).

As per claim 1, Nunziata discloses in FIG. 2 a method for operating an integrated memory unit having a memory cell field (Bank 0 – Bank 3), which comprises: before a memory access, partitioning the memory cell field (Bank 0 – Bank 3) into a plurality of memory areas (e.g. column 10, lines 3-9); for a memory access, selecting one of the memory areas by applying a memory area address (e.g. column 5, lines 22-35); during the memory access, internally generating addresses with the memory unit for the access to memory cells of one of the memory areas (inherent); and transmitting the memory area address, and, subsequently and successively, transmitting access data of the one of the memory areas through a common external terminal connection of the memory unit (e.g. column 4, lines 1-6).

As per claim 2, Nunziata discloses the method further comprises transmitting, with an initialization command, one of a number to be determined of the memory areas; and a size of the memory areas (e.g. FIG. 1, column 10, lines 3-7 "*At initialization of the system, the microprocessor 10... determine the size of DRAM banks...*").

As per claim 3, Nunziata discloses the method further comprises transmitting one of a number of the memory areas and a size of the memory areas, with an initialization command (e.g. FIG. 1, column 10, lines 3-7 "*At initialization of the system, the microprocessor 10... determine the size of DRAM banks...*").

As per claim 4, Nunziata discloses the further comprises: transmitting a start address for the memory access; and beginning with the start address, generating addresses for the access to the memory cells of the one of the memory areas (e.g. column 5, lines 22-35).

As per claim 5, Nunziata discloses a system method for controlling DRAM of a digital data processing device (e.g. column 1, lines 13-15). Thus, the method for transmitting an interrupt command for one of an interruption and a termination of the memory access at a time defined by the interrupt command is inherent in order to provide direct memory access (DMA) to the processing device.

As per claim 6, Nunziata discloses the method further comprises: applying a selection signal to the memory unit (e.g. column 5, lines 31-35); and transmitting at least two commands for the memory access by the application of the selection signal to the memory unit (e.g. column 5, lines 36-41).

As per claim 7, Nunziata discloses the method further comprises transmitting a readout command and a write command through the selection signal (e.g. column 5, lines 36-41).

As per claims 8-9, Nunziata discloses a system method for controlling DRAM of a digital data processing device (e.g. column 1, lines 13-15). Thus, the method for transmitting at least one of an initialization command, an interrupt command, and a masking signal through the selection signal inherent in order to provide direct memory access (DMA) to the processing device.

As per claim 10, Nunziata discloses the method further comprises applying an activation signal (FIG. 2, CASE[3:0] and CASO[3:0]) to each of the memory units for an activation of the respective memory unit given an operation of a plurality of memory units at a common data bus (e.g. column 5, lines 31-36).

As per claim 11, Nunziata discloses the method further comprises additionally utilizing the activation signal as a timing signal for operation of the respective memory unit (e.g. column 5, lines 31-36).

As per claim 12, Nunziata discloses the method further comprises simultaneously utilizing the activation signal as a timing signal for operation of the respective memory unit (e.g. column 5, lines 31-36).

As per claim 13, Nunziata discloses the method further comprises: operating memory units at a common data bus (inherent); and applying an activation signal to each

Art Unit: 2188

of the memory units for an activation of the respective one of the memory units (e.g. column 5, lines 31-36).

As per claim 14, Nunziata discloses the method further comprises additionally utilizing the activation signal as a timing signal for operation of the respective memory unit (e.g. column 5, lines 31-36).

As per claim 15, Nunziata discloses the method further comprises simultaneously utilizing the activation signal as a timing signal for operation of the respective memory unit (e.g. column 5, lines 31-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nunziata (United States Patent 5,619,471).

As per claim 16, Nunziata discloses the method substantially as claimed including executing the partitioning step, the selecting step, the internally generating step, and the transmitting step as detailed in claimed 1.

However, Nunziata does not particularly disclose a test mode for testing the functionality of the memory unit.

Official notice is taken that a test mode for testing the functionality of a memory unit is notoriously well known.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the system and method as taught by Nunziata and to include a test mode for testing the functionality of the memory unit.

One skilled in the art would motivate to do so, because the test mode provides a system with the detection and notification of a memory failure insuring data integrity of the memory unit thereby, preventing the system from operate in a malfunction state.

Art Unit: 2188

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See Form PTO-892.

11. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to (703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA. Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thang H Ho whose telephone number is 703-305-1888. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-306-2903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

Thang Ho
Art Unit 2188
December 1, 2003

Kevin L. Ellis
Primary Examiner

K. L. Ellis